



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,332	06/02/2005	James Daniel Asbury	209546-98124	3186

44200 7590 01/08/2008
HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ & COHN LLP
38500 WOODWARD AVENUE
SUITE 100
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5048

EXAMINER

PATEL, KIRAN B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3612

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/08/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/537,332

Applicant(s)

ASBURY ET AL.

Examiner

/Kiran B. Patel/

Art Unit

3612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Detailed Action

Election and Restriction
(1/3/08)

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required because this application, as best understood, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct inventions. Applicant is requested to elect a single invention and associated figures for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable:

Invention A - claims 1-2, directed towards a headliner assembly.

Invention B - claims 3-5, directed towards a method to include the steps of: applying a binding agent; applying a first layer of chopped fiberglass; applying a scrim to said first layer of chopped fiberglass; applying a catalyst to said scrim; and applying a cover stock to said catalyst, whereby said catalyst and said binding agent are mixed together and impregnate said core material when pressure is applied to the headliner assembly, thereby resulting in a rigid headliner assembly.

Invention C - claims 6-9, directed towards a method to include the steps of: applying a binding agent to one side of a core material; applying a first layer of chopped fiberglass to said binding agent; applying a scrim to said first layer of chopped fiberglass; applying a catalyst to said scrim; applying a cover stock to said catalyst; and controlling an amount of said binding agent and said catalyst that impregnates said core material.

Restriction for examination purpose as indicated above is proper because each of these above inventions having various/different limitations are distinct as outlined above and therefore acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required.

2. As best understood, Examiner has identified the Inventions (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each invention (A, B, C, etc.) to include respective claims and figures to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

3. This application, as best understood, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Art Unit: 3612

- Species A - directed towards Fig. 1
- Species B - directed towards Fig. 2
- Species C - directed towards Fig. 3
- Species D - directed towards Fig. 4
- Species E - directed towards Fig. 5.

4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and associated figures for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. As best understood, Examiner has identified the Species (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each species (A, B, C, etc.) to include associated/respective figures/limitations to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.

5. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species and associated figures that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable *only* on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP. 809.02(a).

7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3612

8. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Kiran B. Patel/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612
January 3, 2008